

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intel. Application No

PCT/GB 02/02438

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 C12N15/00 C07K14/035 C07K14/705 A61K38/16

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C07K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

WPI Data, PAJ, EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, EMBASE, MEDLINE, CHEM ABS Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 00 61617 A (WONG SHOU ;BAETGE E EDWARD (CH); DUPRAZ PHILIPPE (CH); THORENS BER) 19 October 2000 (2000-10-19)	1-4,6-8, 11-13, 16, 21-24, 27-37
Y	page 16, line 3-11; claims 2,5	5,9,10, 14,15, 17-20, 25,26
Y	US 6 083 904 A (ARTAVANIS-TSAKONAS SPYRIDON) 4 July 2000 (2000-07-04) column 47-53 column 3, line 31-40 -/--	5,9,10, 14,15, 17-20, 25,26

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

8 document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

18 February 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

16/04/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Lanzrein, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 02/02438

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 97 05265 A (HARE PETER FRANCIS JOSEPH O ;ELLIOTT GILLIAN DAPHNE (GB)) 13 February 1997 (1997-02-13) page 26, line 11 - line 27	1-37
A	SCHWARTZ J ET AL: "PEPTIDE-MEDIATED CELLULAR DELIVERY" CURRENT OPINION IN MOLECULAR THERAPEUTICS, CURRENT DRUGS, LONDON,, GB, vol. 2, no. 2, April 2000 (2000-04), pages 162-167, XP001037249 ISSN: 1464-8431 table 2	1-37
A	PHELAN A ET AL: "INTERCELLULAR DELIVERY OF FUNCTIONAL P53 BY THE HERPESVIRUS PROTEINVP22" NATURE BIOTECHNOLOGY, NATURE PUB. CO, NEW YORK, NY, US, vol. 16, no. 5, May 1998 (1998-05), pages 440-443, XP000979081 ISSN: 1087-0156 figure 2	1-37

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/GB 02/02438

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 38
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box I.2

Claims Nos.: 38

Present claim 38 relates to an extremely large number of possible compounds/products/methods without giving a technical characterization. The claim lacks support, clarity, conciseness and sufficient disclosure within the meaning of Article 5/6 PCT to such an extent as to render a meaningful search of the claim impossible.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims, or parts of claims, relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Intel. Application No

PCT/GB 02/02438

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0061617	A	19-10-2000	AU 4342900 A	14-11-2000
			EP 1175436 A2	30-01-2002
			JP 2002541786 T	10-12-2002
			WO 0061617 A2	19-10-2000
			US 6358739 B1	19-03-2002
			US 2002076787 A1	20-06-2002
			AU 6009800 A	05-02-2001
			EP 1198557 A2	24-04-2002
			WO 0105942 A2	25-01-2001
US 6083904	A	04-07-2000	US 5786158 A	28-07-1998
			AT 216225 T	15-05-2002
			AU 685067 B2	15-01-1998
			AU 5350394 A	26-04-1994
			CA 2145778 A1	14-04-1994
			DE 69331832 D1	23-05-2002
			DE 69331832 T2	19-12-2002
			DK 662827 T3	12-08-2002
			EP 1197220 A2	17-04-2002
			EP 1175909 A2	30-01-2002
			EP 0662827 A1	19-07-1995
			ES 2174855 T3	16-11-2002
			JP 8502170 T	12-03-1996
			PT 662827 T	30-09-2002
			WO 9407474 A1	14-04-1994
			US 5856441 A	05-01-1999
WO 9705265	A	13-02-1997	AU 705563 B2	27-05-1999
			AU 6623996 A	26-02-1997
			BR 9610058 A	27-07-1999
			CA 2227786 A1	13-02-1997
			CN 1208438 A	17-02-1999
			EP 0845043 A1	03-06-1998
			WO 9705265 A1	13-02-1997
			JP 11510386 T	14-09-1999
			US 6184038 B1	06-02-2001
			US 2002039765 A1	04-04-2002

